## **DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES**

Division of Safety and Permanence

# 6-Month Final Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

Case Tracking Number:	120623DSP-Milw-215	Agency:	Bureau of Milwaukee Child Welfare
Child Information (at time Age: 3 months	of incident) Gender: Fe	emale 🔀 N	1ale
Race or Ethnicity: Africa	an American		
Special Needs: None			
Date of Incident: 6/23/1	12		
On 6/25/12, the agency rinjuries. The child was resupervised visit with the mother was made aware to his primary doctor on child had a leg fracture, a Medical professionals weremoved from the foster	received a report regarding a 3 recently placed in out-of-home parents on 6/23/12. During the of the injury and stated she di 6/25/12 and the doctor transfer a skull fracture on the right side ere unable to determine exactly	8-month-old the care with the visit, the add not know the cried the child de of his hear by when the discovered of	injury or egregious abuse or neglect: I child who had been admitted to the hospital with suspicious five siblings by the agency. The child and his siblings had a mother noticed the child had a bump on his head. The foster how he received the bump. The foster parent took the child ild to the hospital for x-rays. Further examination showed the ad, and a second skull fracture to the left side of his head. injuries were inflicted. The child and his siblings were on 6/25/12. Law enforcement was contacted to investigate the lin this case.
Due to unknown nature of	of the injuries to the child, two	separate as	erial circumstances leading to incident: ssessments were completed on this case. The agency screened
physical abuse to the chi provided under s. 48.981 in a foster home. The in presented with three sep professionals could not c injuries varied from with time in which the injurie children were removed f	Id by an unknown maltreater., which states an independent dependent agency also substantate fractures which medical determine exactly when the injuin a few days to greater than as may have occurred; therefor	An Indepension agency shantiated physical professional puries occurs 10 days old. The the agency shantiated physical profession agency shantiated physical profession and profession agency shantiated physical physica	ions of physical abuse to the child. The agency substantiated indent Investigation was also conducted on the foster home as all conduct an investigation that may involve abuse or neglect sical abuse to the child by an unknown maltreater. The child als determined were from three separate incidents. Medical red; however, they could tell based on the rate of healing the at the child had contact with multiple individuals during the cay was unable to identify the individual responsible. All six foster home placements. The family continues to receive
	investigation pending or complete charges filed? If yes, against wh		
Child's residence at the ti	ime of incident: In-home	☑ Out-of-hor	me care placement
	ollowing section (A. or B. based o		residence at the time of the incident).
Description of the ch child and / or in the ch		members, no	oncustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the
			48 or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's referrals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time
If "Yes", briefly descr person(s) receiving the		s) of last con	ntact between agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the

Summary of all involvement in services as adults under ch. 48 or ch. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the previous five years: (Does not include the current incident.)

Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any investigation of a report or referrals to services involving the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and the child's parents and alleged maltreater. (Does not include the current incident.)

(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of the report, screening decision, and if a referral to services occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of maltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is threatened with harm are not required to be screened in for an initial assessment, and no further action is required by the agency.)

Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child's family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 or ch. 938 and any services provided to the child and child's family since the date of the incident:

## B. Children residing in out-of-home (OHC) placement at time of incident:

#### Description of the OHC placement and basis for decision to place child there:

At the time of the incident, the child resided in a general foster home with his foster mother, 11-year-old brother, 8-year-old brother, 6-year-old sister, 4-year-old brother and 3-year-old brother. The children were placed in out-of-home due to substantiated allegations of neglect by the mother and father to all of the children. Four of the child's siblings were placed in this foster home at the time of their removal from their parents' home in March 2012. The child was born on 3/13/12 and was taken into temporary physical custody on 3/14/12 due to concerns about the mother's ability to appropriately meet his basic needs. The child and his 6-year-old sister were placed in the foster home with their other siblings on 5/17/12. The child has no special needs and a general foster home was deemed appropriate for placement.

## Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home:

The household consisted of the foster mother and this sibling group.

Summary of any actions taken by agency in response to the incident: (Check all that apply.)

**Licensing history:** Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee that constitutes a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of the child.

The foster parent has been licensed as a general foster home since February 2012. She was initially licensed for placement of four children; however, an exception was granted allowing two additional children so that all the children could be placed together. The foster parent's license is currently on hold as a result of this incident. There were no noted concerns prior to this incident.

$\boxtimes$	Screening of Access report		Attempted or successful reunification
	Protective plan implemented		Referral to services
$\boxtimes$	Initial assessment conducted		Transportation assistance
	Safety plan implemented	$\boxtimes$	Collaboration with law enforcement
	Temporary physical custody of child	$\boxtimes$	Collaboration with medical professionals
	Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of		Supervised visitation
	protection or services	$\boxtimes$	Case remains open for services
$\boxtimes$	Placement into foster home		Case closed by agency
	Placement with relatives		Initiated efforts to address or enhance community
	Ongoing Services case management		collaboration on CA/N cases
			Other (describe):

#### FOR DSP COMPLETION ONLY:

## Summary of policy or practice changes to address issues identified during the review of the incident:

Under the Child Welfare Disclosure Act (Section 48.981(7)(cr), Stats.), DSP completes a 90-day review of the agency's practice in each case reported under the Act. In accordance with the DCF memo Series 2010-13, dated December 7, 2010 pertaining to the Child Welfare Case Review Protocol, the Bureau of Performance Management (BPM) completed an on-site review in case # 120623DSP-Milw-215. The review found the Bureau of Milwaukee Child Welfare (BMCW) in compliance with CPS Access and Initial Assessment Standards for the Access report under review. BMCW practice in Initial Assessment was not compliant with standards in the area of information

gathering related to assessment of safety in out of home care and information gathering related to interview contacts. Practice of the On-going Services agency was not compliant with On-going Child Protective Services Standards in the area of monitoring the out of home safety plan and timeliness of permanency planning.

Both the BMCW and the On-going Services agency modified components of their Quality Assurance Plans to ensure effective monitoring of the process of confirming safety in out-of-home care placements (Confirming Safe Environments), and the On-going Services agency adopted the tracking of permanency planning in their Quality Assurance Plan in an effort to ensure compliance with standards relative to permanency planning. Both BMCW and the On-going Services Agency are in the process of ensuring that all staff receives updated safety training as well as completing training requirements for the Confirming Safe Environments process for children placed in out-of-home care.

Recommendations for further chan None	ges in policies, practices, rules or statutes needed to address identified issues:			
∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable	This 6-month summary report completes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) review of this case.			
If the case review was not completed	within 90 days, the DSP will complete and submit the final summary report within 6 months.			
The agency must submit an electronic copy of the completed 90-Day Summary Report to RobertB Williams@wisconsin.gov				