

## 90-Day Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

**Case Tracking Number:** 130806DSP-EauCl-353      **Agency:** Eau Claire County Department of Human Services

**Child Information** (at time of incident)

Age: 2 months      Gender:  Female  Male

Race or Ethnicity: White/Caucasian

Special Needs: None

**Date of Incident:** August 6, 2013

**Description of the incident, including the suspected cause of death, injury or egregious abuse or neglect:**

On August 6, 2013, the agency received a report that a two month old infant sustained a subdural hematoma and retinal hemorrhages. The child had no broken bones or skull fractures but suffered seizures. The child was in the care of the babysitter at the time the injury was reported. The parents and day care provider could not explain how the injury occurred. Criminal charges were not filed against the parents or the day care providers.

**Findings by agency, including maltreatment determination and material circumstances leading to incident:**

Eau Claire County Department of Human Services screened in the CPS report alleging physical abuse to the child. The agency requested Clark County Department of Social Services complete an independent assessment for Eau Claire County Human Services due to a conflict of interest. Clark County Department of Social Services collaborated with law enforcement and medical personnel to complete the assessment. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of the evidence to substantiate physical abuse to the child by an unknown maltreater. The agency found insufficient evidence to name a specific maltreater in this case. The agency determined the child and his sibling as unsafe and took temporary physical custody and placed them with relatives. The agency filed a Child in Need of Protection or Services Petition with juvenile court, which was dismissed with the agency's determination that the parents were not responsible for the child's injuries. The children were returned to the care of their parents and the case was closed. A subsequent Initial Assessment was completed by Eau Claire Department of Human Services alleging physical abuse to the child by the babysitter. The agency found insufficient information to substantiate physical abuse to the child by the babysitter, and closed the case.

Yes  No Criminal investigation pending or completed?  
 Yes  No Criminal charges filed? If yes, against whom?

**Child's residence at the time of incident:**  In-home  Out-of-home care placement

Complete the appropriate following section (A. or B. based on the child's residence at the time of the incident).

**A. Children residing at home at the time of the incident:**

**Description of the child's family** (includes household members, noncustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the child and / or in the child's family home):

The child resided with both parents and older brother (2 ½ years old).

Yes  No **Statement of Services:** Were services under ch. 48 or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's family or alleged maltreater at the time of the incident, including any referrals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time of incident?

**If "Yes", briefly describe the type of services, date(s) of last contact between agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the person(s) receiving those services:**

NA

**Summary of all involvement in services as adults under ch. 48 or ch. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the previous five years:** (Does not include the current incident.)

None

**Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any investigation of a report or referrals to services involving the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and the child's parents and alleged maltreater.** (Does not

include the current incident.)

(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of the report, screening decision, and if a referral to services occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of maltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is threatened with harm are not required to be screened in for an initial assessment, and no further action is required by the agency.)

None

**Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child’s family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 or ch. 938 and any services provided to the child and child’s family since the date of the incident:**

Eau Claire County Department of Human Services screened in the CPS report alleging physical abuse to the child. The agency requested Clark County Department of Social Services complete an independent assessment for Eau Claire County Human Services due to a conflict of interest. Clark County Department of Social Services collaborated with law enforcement and medical personnel to complete the assessment. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of the evidence to substantiate physical abuse to the child by an unknown maltreater. The agency found insufficient evidence to name a specific maltreater in this case. The agency determined the child and his sibling as unsafe and took temporary physical custody and placed them with relatives. The agency filed a Child in Need of Protection or Services Petition with juvenile court, which was dismissed with the agency’s determination that the parents were not responsible for the child’s injuries. The children were returned to the care of their parents and the case was closed. A subsequent Initial Assessment was completed by Eau Claire Department of Human Services alleging physical abuse to the child by the babysitter. The agency found insufficient information to substantiate physical abuse to the child by the day care provider, and closed the case.

**B. Children residing in out-of-home (OHC) placement at time of incident:**

**Description of the OHC placement and basis for decision to place child there:**

NA

**Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home:**

NA

**Licensing history:** Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee that constitutes a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of the child.

NA

**Summary of any actions taken by agency in response to the incident:** (Check all that apply.)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening of Access report   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Attempted or successful reunification                              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protective plan implemented  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Referral to services   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Initial assessment conducted   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation assistance   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Safety plan implemented   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collaboration with law enforcement                                 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Temporary physical custody of child  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collaboration with medical professionals                           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of protection or services) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Supervised visitation  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Placement into foster home  | <input type="checkbox"/> Case remains open for services  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Placement with relatives   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case closed by agency  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ongoing Services case management  | <input type="checkbox"/> Initiated efforts to address or enhance community collaboration on CA/N cases |
|  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (describe): CHIPS petition dismissed in EC                   |

**FOR DSP COMPLETION ONLY:**

**Summary of policy or practice changes to address issues identified during the review of the incident:**

Under the Child Welfare Disclosure Act (Section 48.981(7)(cr), Stats.), the DSP completes a 90-Day review of the agency’s practice in each case reported under the Act. The DSP did not identify practice issues during the review of the incident.

**Recommendations for further changes in policies, practices, rules or statutes needed to address identified issues:**

None

Yes  No  Not Applicable This 90-day summary report completes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) review of this

case.

If the case review was not completed within 90 days, the DSP will complete and submit the final summary report within 6 months.

The agency must submit an electronic copy of the completed 90-Day Summary Report to [RobertB.Williams@wi.gov](mailto:RobertB.Williams@wi.gov)