

## 90-Day Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

**Case Tracking Number:** 140510DSP-Brown-443      **Agency:** Brown County Human Services Department

**Child Information** (at time of incident)

Age: 1 Year      Gender:  Female    Male

Race or Ethnicity: Caucasian

Special Needs: None

**Date of Incident:** May 10, 2014

**Description of the incident, including the suspected cause of death, injury or egregious abuse or neglect:**

On May 12, 2014, the agency received a report regarding a one-year-old child brought to the hospital with head injuries. Medical professionals who examined the child suspected head trauma due to the child's presenting symptoms, so the child was transported to another hospital. Law enforcement was contacted and initiated a criminal investigation regarding the child's suspicious injuries.

Medical personnel diagnosed the infant with Physical Abuse-Abusive Head Trauma. The mother's boyfriend was charged with Child Abuse-Recklessly Cause Harm. The mother's boyfriend was found guilty of Child Abuse-Recklessly Cause Harm.

**Findings by agency, including maltreatment determination and material circumstances leading to incident:**

The agency collaborated with law enforcement and medical personnel to complete the assessment. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of the evidence to substantiate maltreatment of physical abuse to the child by the mother's boyfriend. Medical professionals determined the child sustained head injuries and diagnosed the child with Abusive Head Trauma. The child and her six-year-old sibling continued to remain in the mother's care after she was assessed as protective. A Protective Plan was implemented to not allow any contact between the child and her six-year old sibling with mother's boyfriend. The case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

Yes    No   Criminal investigation pending or completed?

Yes    No   Criminal charges filed? If yes, against whom? The mother's boyfriend.

**Child's residence at the time of incident:**    In-home    Out-of-home care placement

Complete the appropriate following section (A. or B. based on the child's residence at the time of the incident).

**A. Children residing at home at the time of the incident:**

**Description of the child's family** (includes household members, noncustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the child and / or in the child's family home):

At the time of the incident, the child resided with her mother, her mother's boyfriend, and her six-year-old sibling. The biological father was incarcerated at the time of the incident.

Yes    No   **Statement of Services:** Were services under ch. 48 or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's family or alleged maltreater at the time of the incident, including any referrals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time of incident?

**If "Yes", briefly describe the type of services, date(s) of last contact between agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the person(s) receiving those services:**

N/A

**Summary of all involvement in services as adults under ch. 48 or ch. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the previous five years:** (Does not include the current incident.)

N/A

**Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any investigation of a report or referrals to services involving the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and the child's parents and alleged maltreater.** (Does not include the current incident.)

(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of the report, screening decision, and if a referral to services occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of maltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is threatened with harm are not required to be screened in for an initial assessment, and no further action is required by the agency.)

On May 26, 2009, the agency screened-out a CPS Report.

On October 10, 2009, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging sexual abuse to a twelve-year-old child by the alleged maltreater. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of sexual abuse was unsubstantiated.

On November 1, 2010, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging physical abuse of a three-year-old child by the alleged maltreater. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of physical abuse was unsubstantiated.

On April 7, 2011, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging sexual abuse of a three-year-old child by the alleged maltreater. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of sexual abuse was unsubstantiated.

On December 9, 2011, the agency screened-out a CPS Report.

On April 11, 2012, the agency screened-out a CPS Report.

On May 11, 2012, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging neglect of a five-year-old, a four-year-old and a one-year-old child by the alleged maltreater. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of neglect was determined “unable to locate sources.”

On October 17, 2012, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging neglect of a five-year-old, a four-year-old and a one-year-old child by the alleged maltreater. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of neglect was substantiated. The children were deemed safe and the agency closed the case.

**Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child’s family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 and any services provided to the child and child’s family since the date of the incident:**

The agency collaborated with law enforcement and medical personnel to complete the assessment. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of the evidence to substantiate maltreatment of physical abuse to the child by the mother’s boyfriend. Medical professionals determined the child sustained head injuries and diagnosed the child with Abusive Head Trauma. The child and her six-year-old sibling continued to remain in the mother’s care after she was assessed as protective. A Protective Plan was implemented to not allow any contact between the child and her six-year old sibling with mother’s boyfriend. The case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

**B. Children residing in out-of-home care (OHC) placement at time of incident:**

**Description of the OHC placement and basis for decision to place child there:**

N/A

**Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home:**

N/A

**Licensing history:** Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee or other actions that constitute a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of the child.

N/A

**Summary of any actions taken by agency in response to the incident:** (Check all that apply.)

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening of Access report  | <input type="checkbox"/> Attempted or successful reunification               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protective plan implemented                                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Referral to services                     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Initial assessment conducted                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation assistance                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Safety plan implemented  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collaboration with law enforcement       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary physical custody of child  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collaboration with medical professionals |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of protection or services) | <input type="checkbox"/> Supervised visitation                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Placement into foster home   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case remains open for services           |
|   | <input type="checkbox"/> Case closed by agency                               |

- Placement with relatives
- Ongoing Services case management

- Initiated efforts to address or enhance community collaboration on CA/N cases
- Other (describe):

---

**FOR DSP COMPLETION IF RECORD OR ON-SITE REVIEW WAS UNDERTAKEN:**

**Summary of policy or practice changes to address issues identified based on the record or on-site review of the incident:**

Under the Child Welfare Disclosure Act (Section 48.981(7)(cr), Stats.), the DSP completes a 90-Day review of the agency's practice in each case reported under the Act. In accordance with the DCF memo Series 2010-13, dated December 7, 2010, pertaining to the Child Welfare Case Review Protocol, the DSP completed a records review of case #140510DSP-Brown-443 and did not have findings.

**Recommendations for further changes in policies, practices, rules or statutes needed to address identified issues based on the record or on-site review:**

None.

---

Yes    No    Not Applicable   This 90-day summary report completes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) action on this case.

If the case review was not completed within 90 days, the DSP will complete and submit the final summary report within 6 months.

This 90-Day Summary Report was previously delayed. DSP did determine that releasing the summary report would jeopardize an ongoing criminal proceeding and delayed posting as provided under Wis. Stat. § 48.981(7)(cr)7.