

90-Day Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

Case Tracking Number: Bayf-559 **Agency:** Bayfield County Department of Human Services

Child Information (at time of incident)

Age: 2 Years Gender: Female Male

Race or Ethnicity: Caucasian

Special Needs: None

Date of Incident: 08/29/2015

Description of the incident, including the suspected cause of death, injury or egregious abuse or neglect:

On August 31, 2015, the agency received a report indicating neglect of a 2-year-old boy who was pronounced deceased at his home after being run over by a trailer. Law enforcement was contacted and initiated a criminal investigation regarding the child's death. The death has been ruled accidental and no criminal charges have been filed in this case, which has been closed by Law Enforcement.

Findings by agency, including maltreatment determination and material circumstances leading to incident:

The agency collaborated with law enforcement to complete the assessment. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found insufficient evidence to substantiate neglect of the child by his mother and father. The agency determined the child's surviving siblings safe and they remained with their mother and father. The family was referred to services and the case remained open to provide voluntary case management services.

Yes No Criminal investigation pending or completed?
 Yes No Criminal charges filed? If yes, against whom?

Child's residence at the time of incident: In-home Out-of-home care placement

Complete the appropriate following section (A. or B. based on the child's residence at the time of the incident).

A. Children residing at home at the time of the incident:

Description of the child's family (includes household members, noncustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the child and / or in the child's family home):

At the time of the incident, the child resided with his mother and father, three sisters (ages 6, 13, and 18) and two brothers (ages 7 and 12).

Yes **No** **Statement of Services:** Were services under ch. 48 or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's family or alleged maltreater at the time of the incident, including any referrals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time of incident?

If "Yes", briefly describe the type of services, date(s) of last contact between agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the person(s) receiving those services:

At the time of the incident, the family was open to provide case management services. The last contact by the agency occurred with the child's 13-year-old sister during a home visit on August 15, 2015.

Summary of all involvement in services as adults under ch. 48 or ch. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the previous five years: (Does not include the current incident.)

On February 24, 2015, the agency screened out a CPS Report.

On December 12, 2012, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 13-year-old sister. The allegation of neglect by the mother and father was substantiated, Child in Need of Protection and Services petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On November 8, 2011, the agency Screened in a CPS Report alleging sexual abuse of the child's now 18-year-old sister by an unknown maltreater. The allegation of sexual abuse by an unknown maltreater was substantiated. The case was closed.

On June 2, 2011, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 18, 13, and 6-year-old sisters, and 12 and 7-year-old brothers. The allegations of neglect by the mother were substantiated, Child in Need of Protection and Services petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any investigation of a report or referrals to services involving the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and the child's parents and alleged maltreater. (Does not include the current incident.)

(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of the report, screening decision, and if a referral to services occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of maltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is threatened with harm are not required to be screened in for an initial assessment, and no further action is required by the agency.)

On August 6, 2015, the agency screened out a Services Report.

On August 5, 2015, the agency screened in a Services Report. The family was referred to services and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

On February 24, 2015, the agency screened out a CPS Report.

On February 24, 2015, the agency screened in a Services report. The family was referred to services and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

On December 2, 2014, the agency screened out a Services Report.

On December 1, 2014, the agency screened out a Services Report.

On August 13, 2013, the agency screened out a Services Report.

On December 12, 2012, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 13-year-old sister. The allegation of neglect by the mother and father was substantiated, Child in Need of Protection and Services petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On November 8, 2011, the agency Screened in a CPS Report alleging sexual abuse of the child's now 18-year-old sister by an unknown maltreater. The allegation of sexual abuse by an unknown maltreater was substantiated. The case was closed.

On June 2, 2011, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 18, 13, and 6-year-old sisters, and 12 and 7-year-old brothers. The allegations of neglect by the mother were substantiated, Child in Need of Protection and Services petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On January 4, 2011, the agency screened in a Services Report. The family was referred to services and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

On July 30, 2009, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging physical abuse of the child's now 12 year old brother, and unborn child abuse of the child's now 6 year old sister. The allegation of physical abuse was unsubstantiated and the allegation of unborn child abuse was substantiated. An Unborn Child in Need of Protection and Services petition was filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On March 30, 2009, the agency screened in a CPS report alleging neglect of the child's now 12 and 7 year old brothers. The allegations of neglect were unsubstantiated and the case was closed.

On January 29, 2009, the agency screened in a services report. The family was referred to services.

On September 9, 2008, the agency screened out a services report.

On October 8, 2007, the agency screened in a CPS report alleging unborn child abuse to the child's now 7-year-old brother. The allegations of unborn child abuse were substantiated, an Unborn Child in Need of Protection and Services petition was filed, and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On November 2, 2006, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 18 and 13-year-old sisters and 12-year-old brother. The allegations of neglect were substantiated and Child in Need of Protection or Services petitions were

filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On October 9, 2006, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 18 and 13-year-old sisters and 12-year-old brother. The allegations of neglect by the mother and father were substantiated, Child in Need of Protection or Services petitions were filed, and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On June 23, 2005, the agency screened in a CPS report alleging neglect of the child's now 18 and 13-year-old sisters, now 12 and 10-year-old brothers. The allegations of neglect by the mother and father were substantiated, Child in Need of Protection or Services petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On August 11, 2004, the agency screened in a CPS report alleging the neglect of the child's now 18-year-old sister. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of neglect was unsubstantiated. The case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

On December 8, 2003 the agency screened in a services report. The family was referred to services.

On October 10, 2003, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging the neglect of the child's now 18-year-old sister. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of neglect was unsubstantiated.

On January 31, 2003, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging unborn child abuse of the child's now 12-year-old brother. An assessment was completed the agency and the allegation of unborn child abuse was substantiated.

On May 29, 2001, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 18-year-old sister. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of neglect was unsubstantiated.

Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child's family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 and any services provided to the child and child's family since the date of the incident:

The agency collaborated with law enforcement to complete the assessment. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found insufficient evidence to substantiate neglect of the child by his mother and father. The agency determined the child's surviving siblings safe and they remained with their mother and father. The family was referred to services and the case remained open to provide voluntary case management services.

B. Children residing in out-of-home care (OHC) placement at time of incident:

Description of the OHC placement and basis for decision to place child there:

N/A

Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home:

N/A

Licensing history: Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee or other actions that constitute a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of the child.

N/A

Summary of any actions taken by agency in response to the incident: (Check all that apply.)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening of Access report | <input type="checkbox"/> Attempted or successful reunification |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Protective plan implemented | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Referral to services |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Initial assessment conducted | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation assistance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Safety plan implemented | <input type="checkbox"/> Collaboration with law enforcement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary physical custody of child | <input type="checkbox"/> Collaboration with medical professionals |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of protection or services) | <input type="checkbox"/> Supervised visitation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Placement into foster home | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case remains open for services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Placement with relatives | <input type="checkbox"/> Case closed by agency |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ongoing Services case management | <input type="checkbox"/> Initiated efforts to address or enhance community collaboration on CA/N cases |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe): |

FOR DSP COMPLETION IF RECORD OR ON-SITE REVIEW WAS UNDERTAKEN:

Summary of policy or practice changes to address issues identified based on the record or on-site review of the incident:

N/A

Recommendations for further changes in policies, practices, rules or statutes needed to address identified issues based on the record or on-site review:

N/A

Yes No Not Applicable This 90-day summary report completes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) action on this case.

If the case review was not completed within 90 days, the DSP will complete and submit the final summary report within 6 months.